1	MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney ROBERT C. ABENDROTH Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814			
2				
3				
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900			
5	raesililie. (910) 334-2900			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America			
7	Officed States of America			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	L'ISTERI DIST	Mer of Call ordan		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:23-CR-00271-DC		
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT		
13	V.	AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		
14	RONALD PAUL SEPULVEDA, SAMUEL O. MORALES, AND ALLEN DAVID FONG, Defendants.			
15				
16	Defendants.			
17				
18	STIPULATION			
19	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on June 6, 2025.			
20	2. By this stipulation, the parties now move to continue the status conference until August			
21	22, 2025, and to exclude time between June 6, 2025, and August 22, 2025, under Local Codes T2 and			
22	T4.			
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:			
24	a) All parties subject to this stipulation have appeared on the Superseding			
25	Indictment. The government has produced discovery including cell phone extractions of			
26	multiple devices, social media search warrant returns, investigative reports, financial records,			
27	and other materials constituting voluminous data. This material was produced pursuant to a			
28	proposed Protective Order. Moreover, the nature of the charges contained within the			
l	1			

superseding indictment, volume of discovery, and number of defendants make the case unusual and complex that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act.

- b) Counsel for defendants will require additional time to review the discovery materials and charges, conduct investigation, conduct research related to the charges, to assess the viability of any pretrial motions, and arrange for in-person visits to discuss potential resolutions with their clients.
- c) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- d) The government also requires additional time to submit a proposed Protective Order to govern the sharing and review of discovery, to produce the voluminous discovery, and to coordinate the initial appearance of Defendant Alexis Rodriguez in the Eastern District of California.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 6, 2025 to August 22, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(ii) and (iv) [Local Codes T2 and T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the parties' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

## 

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the				
2	Speedy Trial Act warrant time periods excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.				
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
4					
5	Dated: May 28, 2025		MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney		
6		-	/s/ ROBERT C. ABENDROTH ROBERT C. ABENDROTH		
7			Assistant United States Attorney		
8					
9	Dated: May 28, 2025	By:	/s/ Megan Taylor Hopkins MEGAN TAYLOR HOPKINS		
10			MEGAN TAYLOR HOPKINS Counsel for Ronald Paul Sepulveda		
11					
12	Dated: May 28, 2025	By:	/s/ Mark Joseph Reichel MARK JOSEPH REICHEL		
13			Counsel for Samuel O. Morales		
14	Dated: May 28, 2025	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{W}}$	/s/ David Dolmar Fischer		
15	Dated: Way 26, 2025	Бу.	/s/ David Delmer Fischer  DAVID DELMER FISCHER  Counsel for Allen David Fong		
16			Counsel for Allen David Fong		
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1 2

**ORDER** 

The court, having received, read and considered the parties' stipulation and good cause appearing therefrom, ADOPTS the parties' stipulation. Accordingly, the Status Conference as to Ronald Paul Sepulveda, Samuel O. Morales, and Allen David Fong scheduled for June 6, 2025, at 9:30 a.m. is VACATED and RESET for August 22, 2025, at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 8 before the Honorable Dena M. Coggins. The time period between June 6, 2025 and August 22, 2025 inclusive, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii) and (iv) [Local Codes T2 and T4], as the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 30, 2025

Dena Coggins

United States District Judge